Intercollegiate Ethics Bowl Judge's Score Sheet	Judge
Team One	Team Two
Team One Presentation	
A. Was Team One's presentation clear and systematic? (1-10)	
B. Did the team's presentation clearly identify and thoroughly discuss the central moral dimensions of the case? (1-10)	
C. Did the team's presentation indicate both awareness and thoughtful consideration of different viewpoints, including especially those that would loom large in the reasoning of individuals who disagree with the team's position? (1-10)	
1. Total Team One Presentation:	
(total A-C here)	2. Team Two Commentary:
3. Team One Response to Commentary: (1-5)	
4. Team One Response to Judges:(1-15)	Team Two Presentation  A. Was Team Two's presentation
	R. Was ream Two's presentation clear and systematic? (1-10)  B. Did the team's presentation clearly identify and thoroughly discuss the central moral dimensions of the case? (1-10)  C. Did the team's presentation indicate both awareness and thoughtful consideration of different viewpoints, including especially those that would loom large in the reasoning of individuals who disagree with the team's position? (1-10)
	5. Total Team Two Presentation:
	(total A-C here)
6. Team One Commentary: (1-10)	7. Team Two Response to Commentary: (1-5)
	8. Team Two Response to Judges:(1-15)
Team One Total:(60 points possible)	Team Two Total: (60 points possible)

Feedback/Spirit Points	Round
Team One	
ream one	-
Spirit Points for Team One(1-5)	
Comments for Team One:	
Team Two	
Spirit Points for Team Two(1-5)	-
Comments for Team Two:	